Appendix J. Results of the Ethical Competence Questionnaire

This appendix contains the full results of the analyses of the data from the Ethical Competence Questionnaire. The most relevant results were already discussed in section 14.1.4. In this appendix, the results are again structured by cell of the ethical competence framework. Under each cell, the results are presented for prisons A and B together (with statistical testing); for prison A and B separately (with statistical testing); and for each of the six participating training groups (without statistical testing, descriptive statistics only). In the tables, significant results are marked with an asterisk. The values of the statistical tests that were performed are presented in footnotes.

Cell 2: Applying rules

For all the analyses in this cell, McNemar's test was performed. The exact values and statistics for all sub-analyses in the different groups are presented in the tables below. None of the results for this cell were significant.

Vignette	Test	Correct	Incorrect
	Overall		
Excessive violence ¹⁹⁷	Pre-test	33	10
	Post-test	37	6
Tenant ¹⁹⁸	Pre-test	40	5
	Post-test	39	6
	Prison A		
Excessive violence ¹⁹⁹	Pre-test	9	7
	Post-test	12	4
Tenant ²⁰⁰	Pre-test	17	1
	Post-test	17	1
	Prison B		
Excessive violence ²⁰¹	Pre-test	24	3
	Post-test	25	2
Tenant ²⁰²	Pre-test	23	4
	Post-test	22	5
	Group A1		
Excessive violence	Pre-test	4	3
	Post-test	5	2
Tenant	Pre-test	7	1
	Post-test	7	1
	Group A2		
Excessive violence	Pre-test	5	4
	Post-test	7	2
Tenant	Pre-test	10	0
	Post-test	10	0

Table 33 Results for cell 2, 'applying rules', by sub-group

¹⁹⁷ p=0.289, n=43 ¹⁹⁸ p=1.000, n = 45 ¹⁹⁹ p=0.375, n=16

²⁰⁰ Since results here are identical, it makes no sense to perform the McNemar's test.

²⁰¹ p=1.000, n=27

²⁰² p=1.000, n=27

Vignette	Test	Correct	Incorrect
	Group B1		
Excessive violence	Pre-test	6	1
	Post-test	6	1
Tenant	Pre-test	6	1
	Post-test	6	1
	Group B2		1
Excessive violence	Pre-test	5	1
	Post-test	6	0
Tenant	Pre-test	5	1
	Post-test	6	0
	Group B3		1
Excessive violence	Pre-test	6	0
	Post-test	6	0
Tenant	Pre-test	6	1
	Post-test	5	2
	Group B4		1
Excessive violence	Pre-test	7	1
	Post-test	7	1
Tenant	Pre-test	6	1
	Post-test	5	2

Table 34 Results for cell 2, 'applying rules', by sub-group (continued)

Cell 3: Importance of rules

The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used for the statistical analyses performed on the results of this cell. The results are presented in the table below. None of the results were significant.

Table 35 Results for cell 3,	'Importance of rules', by sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation	
		(Overall ²⁰³	1		
Pre-test	45	6.00	18.00	12.11	3.35	
Post-test	45	5.00	18.00	12.18	3.45	
		Р	rison A ²⁰⁴			
Pre-test	18	7.00	18.00	12.56	3.63	
Post-test	18	7.00	18.00	13.22	3.28	
		Р	rison B ²⁰⁵			
Pre-test	27	6.00	18.00	11.81	3.19	
Post-test	27	5.00	18.00	11.48	3.45	
		(Group A1			
Pre-test	8	7.00	18.00	12.75	4.10	
Post-test	8	7.00	18.00	13.00	3.30	
		(Group A2			
Pre-test	10	8.00	18.00	12.40	3.44	
Post-test	10	9.00	18.00	13.40	3.44	
		(Group B1			
Pre-test	8	9.00	17.00	12.25	2.60	
Post-test	8	8.00	15.00	10.88	2.47	
		(Group B2			
Pre-test	5	6.00	18.00	11.60	5.55	
Post-test	5	7.00	18.00	12.80	5.07	
Group B3						
Pre-test	7	6.00	15.00	12.00	3.37	
Post-test	7	9.00	17.00	12.14	2.85	
Group B4						
Pre-test	7	9.00	14.00	11.29	1.89	
Post-test	7	5.00	18.00	10.57	3.95	

²⁰³ z=0.009, p=0.993, n=45

²⁰⁴ *z*=-1.027, *p*=0.304, n=18

²⁰⁵ z=-0.751, p=0.453, n=27

Cell 4: Position in the organization and society

The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used for the statistical analyses for this cell. The results are presented in the table below. The overall results and the results for prison B were significant. In addition, the results for prison B barely reached significance.

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation		
Overall* ²⁰⁶							
Pre-test	45	21.00	55.00	42.62	7.93		
Post-test	45	25.00	56.00	44.96	7.20		
		P	rison A ²⁰⁷				
Pre-test	18	29.00	48.00	41.83	5.76		
Post-test	18	25.00	56.00	44.17	7.53		
		Pr	·ison B* ²⁰⁸				
Pre-test	27	21.00	55.00	43.15	9.16		
Post-test	27	28.00	56.00	45.48	7.06		
		(Group A1				
Pre-test	8	29.00	48.00	40.88	7.45		
Post-test	8	25.00	56.00	42.75	9.11		
	1	(Group A2	1			
Pre-test	10	37.00	48.00	42.60	4.25		
Post-test	10	35.00	56.00	45.30	6.27		
	1	(Group B1	1			
Pre-test	8	36.00	54.00	47.38	6.09		
Post-test	8	32.00	56.00	48.25	7.79		
		(Group B2				
Pre-test	5	24.00	52.00	41.80	10.73		
Post-test	5	28.00	50.00	42.40	8.65		
		(Group B3				
Pre-test	7	43.00	55.00	48.14	4.67		
Post-test	7	43.00	56.00	48.71	4.79		
		(Group B4				
Pre-test	7	21.00	45.00	34.29	8.69		
Post-test	7	35.00	48.00	41.29	4.64		

Table 36 Results for cell 4, 'Position in the organization and society', by sub-group

²⁰⁶ z=-2,322, p=0.020, n=45

²⁰⁷ z=-1.305, p=0.192, n=18

²⁰⁸ z=-1.969, p=0.049, n=27

Cell 5: Defining a situation as an ethical one and seeing different solutions

This cell had two components. **Defining a situation as an ethical one** was measured in different ways. First, respondents had to indicate whether they believed the situations depicted in the **excessive violence and tenant vignettes** constituted ethical dilemmas. Second, there were two scales measuring the construct of moral attentiveness. The first measured whether officers **generally recognized ethical issues** when encountering them; the second subscale for moral attentiveness measured whether officers also **reflected on ethical decisions**.

The second component of this cell is **seeing different solutions**, which was measured by having participants come up with as many different solutions as they could in response to a third vignette in which officers are confronted with an ex-prisoner. Given that this cell thus had so many different subscales, it was decided to present the results using one table per subscale. These tables are presented below. All the analyses were performed using the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test. Defining a situation as an ethical one

Excessive violence vignette

None of the results for this vignette were significant.

Table 37 Results for cell 5, 'defining a situation as an ethical one and seeing different solutions', excessive violence vignette, by sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard	
					deviation	
		Ove	erall ²⁰⁹			
Pre-test	43	2.00	14.00	8.23	3.05	
Post-test	43	4.00	14.00	8.74	2.41	
		Pris	on A ²¹⁰			
Pre-test	16	2.00	12.00	7.19	2.95	
Post-test	16	4.00	12.00	8.12	2.19	
		Pris	on B ²¹¹			
Pre-test	27	2.00	14.00	8.85	3.00	
Post-test	27	5.00	14.00	9.11	2.50	
		Gre	oup A1			
Pre-test	8	2.00	9.00	6.00	2.56	
Post-test	8	6.00	12.00	8.00	2.14	
		Gro	oup A2			
Pre-test	8	4.00	12.00	8.38	2.97	
Post-test	8	4.00	11.00	8.25	2.38	
		Gre	oup B1			
Pre-test	7	6.00	13.00	8.86	2.19	
Post-test	7	7.00	14.00	9.29	2.29	
		Gre	oup B2			
Pre-test	6	6.00	12.00	10.00	2.45	
Post-test	6	7.00	13.00	9.00	2.19	
Group B3						
Pre-test	7	4.00	14.00	9.86	3.85	
Post-test	7	5.00	13.00	10.57	2.99	
		Gre	oup B4			
Pre-test	7	2.00	10.00	6.86	2.67	
Post-test	7	5.00	10.00	7.57	1.90	

²⁰⁹ z=-1.134, p=0.257, n=43

 $^{^{210}}$ z=-1.704, p=0.088, n=16. Although this result is not significant, it is close, which given the small sample may still be interesting.

²¹¹ z=-0.099, p=0.921, n=27

Tenant vignette

None of the results for this vignette were significant.

Table 38 Results for cell 5, 'defining a situation as an ethical one and seeing different solutions', tenant vignette, by sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard		
					deviation		
		0	verall ²¹²	1			
Pre-test	43	2.00	13.00	8.16	2.91		
Post-test	43	2.00	14.00	8.67	2.97		
		Pr	ison A ²¹³				
Pre-test	17	2.00	13.00	8.00	3.26		
Post-test	17	4.00	14.00	8.59	2.99		
		Pr	ison B ²¹⁴				
Pre-test	26	4.00	12.00	8.27	2.72		
Post-test	26	2.00	14.00	8.73	3.01		
		G	roup A1				
Pre-test	8	6.00	12.00	8.00	2.62		
Post-test	8	4.00	12.00	9.13	2.70		
		G	roup A2				
Pre-test	8	2.00	13.00	8.00	3.91		
Post-test	8	4.00	14.00	8.11	3.30		
		G	roup B1				
Pre-test	6	6.00	12.00	8.83	2.56		
Post-test	6	4.00	14.00	9.83	3.37		
		G	roup B2				
Pre-test	6	4.00	12.00	8.33	3.72		
Post-test	6	2.00	13.00	7.83	4.31		
Group B3							
Pre-test	7	5.00	12.00	9.14	2.61		
Post-test	7	5.00	12.00	8.57	2.44		
	Group B4						
Pre-test	7	4.00	9.00	6.86	1.86		
Post-test	7	6.00	12.00	8.71	2.21		

²¹³ z=-1.305, p=0.192, n=17

²¹² z=-1.514, p=0.130, n=41

²¹⁴ z=-1.054, p=0.292, n=26

General recognition of ethical dilemmas

For this subscale, the results for the overall analysis and the separate analyses for prisons A and B revealed significant results.

Table 39 Results for cell 5, 'd	lefining a situati	on as an	ethical c	one and	seeing	different	solutions',	'general
recognition' subscale, by sub-gr	roup							

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard		
					deviation		
		Ove	rall* ²¹⁵				
Pre-test	41	13.00	38.00	24.19	5.82		
Post-test	41	15.00	41.00	26.70	5.51		
		Priso	on A* ²¹⁶				
Pre-test	15	16.00	38.00	24.07	5.68		
Post-test	15	15.00	36.00	26.20	5.45		
		Prise	on B* ²¹⁷				
Pre-test	26	13.00	34.00	24.27	6.02		
Post-test	26	15.00	41.00	27.00	5.64		
		Gro	oup A1				
Pre-test	8	17.00	27.00	21.88	2.75		
Post-test	8	18.00	32.00	25.88	4.19		
		Gro	oup A2				
Pre-test	7	16.00	38.00	26.57	7.25		
Post-test	7	15.00	36.00	26.57	6.97		
		Gro	oup B1				
Pre-test	8	13.00	31.00	23.50	6.23		
Post-test	8	15.00	25.00	25.00	5.70		
		Gro	oup B2				
Pre-test	4	13.00	28.00	18.25	6.70		
Post-test	4	16.00	36.00	25.75	8.66		
	Group B3						
Pre-test	7	18.00	34.00	26.86	5.70		
Post-test	7	25.00	32.00	28.29	2.56		
	Group B4						
Pre-test	7	21.00	31.00	26.00	3.83		
Post-test	7	23.00	41.00	28.71	6.21		

Reflecting on ethical decisions

For this subscale, no significant results were found.

²¹⁵ z=-3.249, p=0.001, n=41

²¹⁶ z=-2.369, p=0.018, n=15.

²¹⁷ z=-2.351, p=0.019, n=26.

Table 40 Results for cell 5, 'defining a situation as an ethical one and seeing different solutions', 'general recognition' subscale, by sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard		
					deviation		
		0	verall ²¹⁸	1			
Pre-test	44	3.00	21.00	13.25	3.41		
Post-test	44	9.00	21.00	13.52	2.95		
		Pr	ison A ²¹⁹				
Pre-test	16	3.00	18.00	12.25	3.49		
Post-test	16	9.00	16.00	11.81	2.14		
		Pr	ison B ²²⁰				
Pre-test	28	6.00	21.00	13.82	3.29		
Post-test	28	9.00	21.00	14.50	2.94		
		G	roup A1				
Pre-test	8	3.00	15.00	11.50	3.93		
Post-test	8	9.00	14.00	11.13	1.73		
		G	roup A2				
Pre-test	8	9.00	18.00	13.00	3.07		
Post-test	8	10.00	16.00	12.50	2.39		
		G	roup B1				
Pre-test	8	10.00	18.00	14.00	2.78		
Post-test	8	11.00	17.00	14.38	2.38		
		G	roup B2				
Pre-test	6	9.00	18.00	14.50	3.21		
Post-test	6	9.00	18.00	13.67	3.61		
	Group B3						
Pre-test	6	6.00	21.00	13.33	5.92		
Post-test	6	13.00	21.00	17.17	3.37		
	Group B4						
Pre-test	8	12.00	14.00	13.50	0.93		
Post-test	8	11.00	15.00	13.25	1.28		

- ²¹⁹ z=-1.191, p=0.234, n=16
- ²²⁰ z=-0.996, p=0.334, n=28

²¹⁸ z=-0.162, p=0.871, n=44

Seeing different solutions

For this second subcomponent of cell 5, no significant results were found.

Table 41 Results for cell 5, 'defining a situation as an ethical one and seeing different solutions', 'general recognition' subscale, by sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard		
					deviation		
		0	verall ²²¹				
Pre-test	45	1.00	8.00	2.31	1.51		
Post-test	45	1.00	12.00	2.58	2.18		
		Pr	ison A ²²²				
Pre-test	17	1	4	2.06	1.03		
Post-test	17	1	7	2.47	1.90		
		Pr	ison B ²²³				
Pre-test	28	1	8	2.46	1.73		
Post-test	28	1	12	2.64	2.36		
		G	roup A1				
Pre-test	7	1	4	1.86	1.069		
Post-test	7	1	4	1.71	1.254		
		G	roup A2				
Pre-test	10	1	4	2.20	1.033		
Post-test	10	1	7	3.00	2.160		
		G	roup B1				
Pre-test	7	1	5	2.57	1.618		
Post-test	7	1	6	3.00	2.236		
		G	roup B2				
Pre-test	6	1	5	2.17	1.60		
Post-test	6	1	3	1.67	0.82		
Group B3							
Pre-test	7	1	8	3.43	2.30		
Post-test	7	1	12	3.57	3.99		
	Group B4						
Pre-test	8	1	4	1.75	1.17		
Post-test	8	1	4	2.25	1.04		

²²¹ z=-1.257, p=0.209, n=45

²²² z=-1.218, p=0.223, n=17

²²³ z= -0.639, p=0.523, n=28

Cell 6: Cognitive and emotional empathy

For this cell, it needs to be noted again that only the scale for cognitive empathy was analyzed, given that the emotional empathy scale did not perform sufficiently well in the factor analyses. For more information, see Appendix I. No significant results were found for this cell.

T 1 1 1 D 1 C 11 5	10 ··· 1 ·· 1	<i>,</i> 1 <i>, , , , , ,</i>	1 1 1 1 1
Table 42 Results for cell 5,	Cognitive and emotional	empainy, cognitive empai	<i>ny subscale, by sub-group</i>

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
		(Overall ²²⁴		
Pre-test	44	10.00	35.00	26.18	4.24
Post-test	44	13.00	35.00	26.70	3.87
		Р	rison A ²²⁵		
Pre-test	18	21.00	35.00	26.11	3.63
Post-test	18	21.00	35.00	26.72	3.85
		Р	rison B ²²⁶		
Pre-test	26	10.00	34.00	26.23	4.69
Post-test	26	13.00	34.00	26.69	3.96
		(Group A1		
Pre-test	8	21.00	29.00	25.13	3.04
Post-test	8	21.00	33.00	26.75	3.73
		(Group A2		
Pre-test	10	22.00	35.00	26.90	4.01
Post-test	10	21.00	35.00	26.70	4.14
		(Group B1		
Pre-test	7	23.00	30.00	27.86	2.41
Post-test	7	20.00	34.00	27.29	4.57
		(Group B2		
Pre-test	5	10.00	34.00	24.60	8.99
Post-test	5	13.00	28.00	24.20	6.50
		(Group B3		
Pre-test	7	22.00	31.00	26.29	2.98
Post-test	7	26.00	31.00	28.14	1.77
		(Group B4		
Pre-test	7	20.00	32.00	25.71	4.19
Post-test	7	24.00	29.00	26.43	2.23

Cell 8: Using different moral arguments

Cell 8 was measured for both the excessive violence and tenant vignettes. Note that for the tenant vignette, the number of individuals in the '2 types' category is small (see 14.1.3), which might skew the results. No significant results were found here.

²²⁴ z=-1.006, p=0.314, n=44

²²⁵ z=-0.434, p=0.664, n=18

²²⁶ z=-0.865, p=0.387, n=26

Table 43 Results for cell 8,	'using different moral	arguments', by sub-group
Tuble 45 Results jor cell 0,	using uijjereni morui	urgumenus, by sub-group

Vignette	Test	2 types	3 types
	Overall		
Excessive violence ²²⁷	Pre-test	20	19
	Post-test	14	25
Tenant ²²⁸	Pre-test	6	32
	Post-test	8	30
	Prison A		ł
Excessive violence ²²⁹	Pre-test	7	6
	Post-test	6	7
Tenant ²³⁰	Pre-test	4	9
	Post-test	4	9
	Prison B		ł
Excessive violence ²³¹	Pre-test	13	13
	Post-test	8	18
Tenant ²³²	Pre-test	2	23
	Post-test	4	21
	Group A1		ł
Excessive violence	Pre-test	3	2
	Post-test	2	3
Tenant	Pre-test	2	4
	Post-test	1	5
	Group A2		ч
Excessive violence	Pre-test	4	4
	Post-test	4	4
Tenant	Pre-test	2	5
	Post-test	3	4

- ²²⁷ p=0.146, n=39 ²²⁸ p=0.754, n=38 ²²⁹ p=1.000, n=13 ²³⁰ p=1.000, n=13 ²³¹ p=0.180, n=26 ²³² p=0.687, n=25

Appendix J. Results of the Ethical Competence Questionnaire

Vignette	Test	2 types	3 types
	Group B1		
Excessive violence	Pre-test	3	5
	Post-test	3	5
Tenant	Pre-test	1	7
	Post-test	1	7
	Group B2		
Excessive violence	Pre-test	3	3
	Post-test	2	4
Tenant	Pre-test	0	4
	Post-test	0	4
	Group B3		ł
Excessive violence	Pre-test	3	3
	Post-test	2	4
Tenant	Pre-test	0	4
	Post-test	0	4
	Group B4		
Excessive violence	Pre-test	4	3
	Post-test	2	5
Tenant	Pre-test	0	7
	Post-test	2	5

Table 44 Results for cell 8, 'using different moral arguments', by sub-group (continued)

Cell 9: Attitude of flexibility

Cell 9 had two subscales. These are presented below in separate tables.

Dealing flexibly with problems

No significant results were found for this subscale.

Table 45 Results for cell 9, 'Attitude of flexibility', 'dealing flexibly with problems' subscale, by sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
		(Dverall ²³³		
Pre-test	47	21.00	34.00	26.51	3.20
Post-test	47	15.00	35.00	26.55	3.60
		Р	rison A ²³⁴		
Pre-test	18	23.00	34.00	25.94	2.60
Post-test	18	21.00	30.00	25.78	3.28
		Р	rison B ²³⁵		
Pre-test	29	21.00	34.00	26.86	3.51
Post-test	29	15.00	33.00	27.03	3.76
	1	(Group A1		
Pre-test	8	23.00	28.00	25.13	1.96
Post-test	8	21.00	30.00	25.13	3.76
	1	(Group A2		
Pre-test	10	24.00	34.00	26.60	2.95
Post-test	10	22.00	30.00	26.30	2.95
		(Group B1		
Pre-test	8	21.00	29.00	25.88	2.75
Post-test	8	15.00	30.00	25.25	4.59
		(Group B2		
Pre-test	6	23.00	34.00	28.83	4.62
Post-test	6	21.00	33.00	28.83	4.36
		(Group B3		
Pre-test	7	22.00	32.00	27.43	3.69
Post-test	7	24.00	32.00	28.29	3.25
		(Group B4		
Pre-test	8	22.00	32.00	25.88	3.00
Post-test	8	25.00	31.00	26.38	2.07

²³³ z=-0.425, p=0.671, n=47

²³⁴ z=-0.086, p=0.932, n=18

²³⁵ z=-0.513, p=0.608, n=29

General attitude of flexibility towards life

The results for this subscale show a significant finding for the overall group and for prison A.

Table 46 Results for cell 9, 'Attitude of flexibility', 'general attitude of flexibility towards life' subscale, by subgroup

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard
					deviation
		0	verall* ²³⁶		
Pre-test	47	2.00	13.00	7.02	2.80
Post-test	47	2.00	12.00	6.00	2.35
	ł	Pr	rison A* ²³⁷		
Pre-test	18	2.00	11.00	6.94	2.65
Post-test	18	2.00	11.00	5.61	2.38
		Р	rison B ²³⁸		
Pre-test	29	3.00	13.00	7.07	2.94
Post-test	29	3.00	12.00	6.24	2.34
		(Group A1		
Pre-test	8	4.00	11.00	7.25	3.01
Post-test	8	4.00	11.00	5.50	2.45
		(Group A2		
Pre-test	10	2.00	10.00	6.70	2.45
Post-test	10	2.00	10.00	5.70	2.45
		(Group B1		
Pre-test	8	4.00	11.00	8.88	2.17
Post-test	8	4.00	12.00	7.38	2.56
		(Group B2		
Pre-test	6	4.00	11.00	7.00	3.22
Post-test	6	4.00	9.00	6.33	2.07
		(Group B3		
Pre-test	7	3.00	13.00	7.00	3.65
Post-test	7	3.00	7.00	5.43	1.51
		(Group B4		
Pre-test	8	4.00	9.00	5.38	2.00
Post-test	8	3.00	12.00	5.75	2.82

²³⁶ z=-2.389, p=0.017, n=47

²³⁷ z=-2.429, p=0.015, n=18

²³⁸ z=-1.285, p=0.199, n=29

Cell 11: Priority to rules and consequences for others

Cell 11 refers to the ability to prioritize rules and consequences for others over self-interest. Like in cell 8, only two of the three respondent groups were analyzed because the remaining group remained empty (see 14.1.3.8). The tables below show the results for both the excessive violence and tenant vignettes.

Vignette	Test	'Rules' and/or 'consequences for others' +	Only 'rules' or 'consequences				
		'no autonomy'/'self-interest'	for others'				
	Overall						
Excessive violence ²³⁹	Pre-test	14	17				
	Post-test	14	17				
Tenant ²⁴⁰	Pre-test	14	14				
	Post-test	12	16				
		Prison A					
Excessive violence ²⁴¹	Pre-test	4	8				
	Post-test	3	9				
Tenant ²⁴²	Pre-test	4	7				
	Post-test	5	6				
		Prison B					
Excessive violence ²⁴³	Pre-test	10	9				
	Post-test	11	8				
Tenant ²⁴⁴	Pre-test	10	7				
	Post-test	7	10				
		Group A1	·				
Excessive violence	Pre-test	2	3				
	Post-test	2	3				
Tenant	Pre-test	1	3				
	Post-test	3	1				

- ²⁴⁰ p=0.774, n=28
- ²⁴¹ p=1.000, n=12
- ²⁴² p=1.000, n=11
- ²⁴³ p=1.000, n=19
- ²⁴⁴ p=0.453, n=17

²³⁹ p=1.000, n=31

Vignette	Test	'Rules' and/or 'consequences for	Only 'rules' or 'consequences
		others' + 'no autonomy'/'self-	for others'
		interest'	
		Group A2	
Excessive	Pre-test	2	5
violence			
	Post-test	1	6
Tenant	Pre-test	3	4
	Post-test	2	5
		Group B1	
Excessive	Pre-test	3	3
violence	Post-test	3	3
Tenant	Pre-test	2	2
	Post-test	4	0
		Group B2	
Excessive	Pre-test	2	2
violence	Post-test	2	2
Tenant	Pre-test	2	2
	Post-test	1	3
		Group B3	
Excessive	Pre-test	3	4
violence	Post-test	5	2
Tenant	Pre-test	4	3
	Post-test	2	5
		Group B4	
Excessive	Pre-test	2	0
violence	Post-test	1	1
Tenant	Pre-test	2	0
	Post-test	0	2

Table 48 Results for cell 11, 'Priority to rules and consequences for others', by sub-group (continued)

Cell 12: Autonomy and moral courage

Cell 12 has two subcompetences: autonomy and moral courage.

Autonomy

T 11 10 D 11 C 11 13	(1 1	, , , ,	, 1 , 1	1
Table 49 Results for cell 12,	'Autonomy and moral courage	, autonomy	subcompetence, by	sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation			
	Overall ²⁴⁵							
Pre-test	47	11.00	28.00	18.89	3.85			
Post-test	47	11.00	28.00	19.53	3.91			
		Р	rison A ²⁴⁶					
Pre-test	18	13.00	25.00	20.06	3.57			
Post-test	18	11.00	24.00	19.39	3.78			
		Р	rison B ²⁴⁷					
Pre-test	29	11.00	28.00	18.17	3.90			
Post-test	29	13.00	28.00	19.62	4.06			
		(Group A1					
Pre-test	8	16.00	25.00	20.88	3.48			
Post-test	8	13.00	24.00	19.63	3.70			
		(Group A2					
Pre-test	10	13.00	25.00	19.40	3.69			
Post-test	10	11.00	24.00	19.20	4.02			
		(Group B1					
Pre-test	8	14.00	21.00	17.88	2.59			
Post-test	8	15.00	23.00	20.75	2.66			
		(Group B2					
Pre-test	6	14.00	28.00	20.33	4.76			
Post-test	6	16.00	28.00	22.50	4.55			
	Group B3							
Pre-test	7	11.00	22.00	16.29	3.77			
Post-test	7	14.00	21.00	16.71	2.81			
		(Group B4					
Pre-test	8	12.00	25.00	18.50	4.25			
Post-test	8	13.00	26.00	18.88	4.42			

²⁴⁵ z=-0.687, p=0.492, n=47

²⁴⁶ z=-1.528, p=0.127, n=18

²⁴⁷ z=-1.710, p=0.087, n=29

Moral courage

Table 50 Results for cell 12, 'Autonomy and moral courage', 'moral courage' subcompetence, by sub-group

Test	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
		(Dverall ²⁴⁸		
Pre-test	45	6.00	18.00	12.51	3.47
Post-test	45	6.00	18.00	12.56	3.47
		P	rison A ²⁴⁹		
Pre-test	16	9.00	18.00	13.31	3.34
Post-test	16	7.00	18.00	13.00	3.35
		Р	rison B ²⁵⁰		
Pre-test	29	6.00	18.00	12.07	3.51
Post-test	29	6.00	18.00	12.31	3.57
		(Group A1		
Pre-test	8	9.00	18.00	14.25	3.20
Post-test	8	7.00	18.00	14.00	3.38
		(Group A2		
Pre-test	8	9.00	18.00	12.38	3.42
Post-test	8	8.00	18.00	12.00	3.21
		(Group B1		
Pre-test	8	6.00	17.00	11.50	4.54
Post-test	8	6.00	15.00	12.25	3.54
		(Group B2		
Pre-test	6	7.00	18.00	13.50	4.04
Post-test	6	9.00	18.00	14.83	3.60
		(Group B3		
Pre-test	7	10.00	18.00	12.71	2.87
Post-test	7	8.00	17.00	13.29	2.93
		(Group B4		
Pre-test	8	7.00	14.00	11.00	2.51
Post-test	8	7.00	15.00	9.63	2.67

²⁴⁹ z=-0.564, p=0.573, n=16

²⁴⁸ z=-0.255, p=0.798, n=45

²⁵⁰ z=-0.605, p=0.545, n=29